

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 219 SOUTH DEARBORN STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604

312-435-6860

Jeffrey Robert Moran , Jr. Vanek, Vickers & Masini, P.C. 111 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 4050

Chicago, IL 60606

Email: jmoran@vaneklaw.com

Joseph Michael Vanek Sperling & Slater, P.C. 55 W. Monroe St., Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60603

Email: <u>jvanek@sperling-law.com</u>

Bruce S. Sperling Sperling & Slater 55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60603

Email: bss@sperling-law.com

John Paul Bjork Sperling & Slater, P.C. 55 W. Monroe Street, Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60603

Email: jbjork@sperling-law.com

Martin Amaro Vanek, Vickers & Masini, P.C. 55 W Monroe St., Suite 3500 Chicago, IL 60603

Email: mamaro@vaneklaw.com

Robert David Cheifetz Sperling & Slater 55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60603 (312)641-3200

Email: robc@sperling-law.com

Thomas A. Vickers Masini, Vickers, Ruksakiati & Hadsell, P.C. 150 S. Wacker Drive, 24th Floor #3500

Chicago, IL 60606

Email: tav@mvrhlaw.com

October 1, 2021

Bradford J Badke Ropes & Gray LLP 1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-8704 Email: jim.badke@ropesgray.com

Jacqueline M James Ropes & Gray Llp 1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

Email: jacqueline.james@ropesgray.com

Jeanne C Curtis Ropes & Gray LLP 1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-8704

Matthew A Traupman Ropes & Gray LLP 1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-8704

Email: matthew.traupman@ropesgray.com

Michael P. Kahn Ropes & Gray Llp 1211 Avenue Of The Americas New York, NY 10036

Email: michael.kahn@ropesgray.com

Qasim S Jami McDermott Will & Emery LLP 227 W. Monroe Street 44th Floor Chicago, IL 60606-5096 Email: gjami@mwe.com In re: Simonian v. Pfizer, Inc., Case No. 10 CV 01193

Dear Counselors,

I have been contacted by Judge Charles R. Norgle, Sr, who presided over the above-mentioned case.

Judge Norgle informed me that it has been brought to his attention that while he presided over the case, he owned stock in Pfizer. His ownership of stock neither affected nor impacted his decisions in this case. However, his stock ownership would have required recusal under the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, and thus, Judge Norgle directed that I notify the parties of the conflict.

Advisory Opinion 71, from the Judicial Conference Codes of Conduct Committee, provides the following guidance for addressing disqualification that is not discovered until after a judge has participated in a case:

> [A]judge should disclose to the parties the facts bearing on disqualification as soon as those facts are learned, even though that may occur after entry of the decision. The parties may then determine what relief they may seek, and a court (without the disqualified judge) will decide the legal consequence, if any, arising from the participation of the disqualified judge in the entered decision.

Although Advisory Opinion 71 contemplated disqualification after a Court of Appeals oral argument, the Committee explained "[s]imilar considerations would apply when a judgment was entered in a district court by a judge and it is later learned that the judge was disqualified."

With Advisory Opinion 71 in mind, you are invited to respond to Judge Norgle's disclosure of a conflict in this case. Should you wish to respond, please submit your response on or before October 15, 2021. Any response will be considered by another judge of this court without the participation of Judge Norgle.

Thomas M. Sutur

Clerk of Court